



EAS Congress 2015 Workshop 3.2 Future of Coastal Cities

Blue Carbon challenges of FutureCity Yokohama

November 19th, 2015

Takashi Oshima Climate Change Policy Headquarters City of Yokohama,Japan













About Yokohama



4



International port city
 Opening of port of Yokohama in 1859
 Population: approx. 3.7 million
 Largest city in Japan
 GDP: approx. 12.8 trillion JPY

 (approx. 110 billion USD)

 Papid growth and urbanization





History of Yokohama



~ Challenges that have been overcome in the past ~



"5 Great War" and "6 Big Business" of Yokohama

5 Great War: Garbage, Environmental destruction, Water resources, Road traffic, Public land

6 Big Business: Strengthening of the urban area (Minato Mirai 21. etc), Construction of Bay Bridge



FutureCity/Eco-Model City



FutureCity

O Sustainable city at higher levels in environmental, social and economic perspectives. O 11 cities and areas were selected in 2011 with the basic concept of creating a city with new people-friendly and environment-friendly values to address super-aging of the society

Eco-Model City

O Low Carbon Cities to support the foundation of "FutureCity" Initiative O Total of 20 cities (13 cities in 2008 and 7 cities in 2011) was selected as cities and areas to challenge pioneering efforts with high targets aiming to realize Low Carbon society by measures such as drastic reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.



* Non-members of the Promotion Council can apply for the Eco-Model City.

Source: Documentation on "FutureCity" Initiative, Regional Revitalization Office, Cabinet Secretariat







In 1950: Yokohama international port city construction act enacted.

In 2007: Basic Act on Ocean Policy enacted.

In 2013: New Basic Plan on Ocean Policy formulated.

<Efforts by the City of Yokohama>

Efforts for marine environment
preservation

Further development of efforts as
 Ocean City through measures such as
 ocean education

Basic Act on Ocean Policy

Aiming to realize a new oceanic State with the followings in mind:
◆ The ocean is indispensable for maintaining the lives of the living beings including mankind

Peaceful and positive development and use of the oceans

Conservation of the marine environment



















- > "A carbon captured by ocean and coastal ecosystems".
- It is said that 0.2 billion ton carbon is fixed annually by coastal ecosystems in the sediment.



* The number shown in above : Quantity of carbon per year



UNEP developed the report "Blue Carbon" in 2009

- ⇒Visibility of Blue Carbon has increased
 - The SBSTA proposed,



"Blue Carbon" to be discussed in islands countries.

⇒Blue carbon is expected as new carbon sink*

Scientific knowledge about quantity of CO₂ fixation is lacking.

- \Rightarrow Non-approved method in the Kyoto Protocol
- ⇒Action to increase the quantity of CO₂ fixation by Blue Carbon hasn't been promoted.

* Tokoro et ai., 2013

Expectations

Challenges





Yokohama Blue Carbon Project (YBCP)

- = Blue Carbon + Blue Resources*
 - + Promoting of Sea-friendly Society



* Utilization of marine biomass and marine energy

Framework of Yokohama Blue Carbon







Directions of Yokohama Blue Carbon Project

(1) Application of local rules

- The City of Yokohama should proactively support environmental activities in the city.
- However, measurement and monitoring methods of greenhouse gases are not yet established.
 - We will promote the world's first measures in Yokohama promptly.
 By utilizing Yokohama's local rules (as simple as possible while introducing latest knowledge), create and utilize carbon credit.
 - \Rightarrow At the same time, by constantly monitoring the effects of measures, acquire scientific proof of the effects.

 \Rightarrow Review the rules flexibly when appropriate.

2 Promoting participation of citizens

• To further develop this project, understanding and cooperation from participating citizens and companies are essential.

➡ ★ Explaining simply greenhouse gas fixation and reduction mechanisms in the project to deepen understanding.

★ Increasing opportunities for citizens to participate in creation and utilization of carbon credit.

3 Co-benefit assessment

- It is important to offer co-benefit so that the project is differentiated from other carbon credit projects and participants will purchase credits from this project.
 - Assessment of various values such as improvement in water purification and biodiversity.









Targets for Yokohama Blue Carbon









Yokohama City approved CO² reduction by **Blue Resources**

Carbon-offset of the Triathlon Games











- In 2014, the main topics of experiment is CO2 reduction from Blue Resources.
- In 2015, the city examined the means of calculating quantities of CO2 fixation from Blue Carbon (reviving eelgrass bed), which is the core of the YBCP.









A NGO has revived eelgrass bed since 2003 at Yokohama Sea park

 \Rightarrow Evaluating quantity of CO₂ fixation by the eelgrass bed





- "Accuracy" of quantity of CO₂ fixation and "Easiness" of monitoring for citizens is important for methodology.
- It is difficult to monitor quantity of CO₂ fixation in the sediment directly

⇒We developed a **new and simple estimation methodology**



Mechanism of Carbon Fixation









Appearance of field survey



(1) Aerial Photography taken by Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)



(3) Unit wet-weight **Survey**



(2) Distribution Survey

with citizens by smartphones







Tokonama Dille Carbon





Legend

- : The Plots of smartphone survey by citizens P
 - : The locations of unit wet-weight survey





Although the difference of area was large, that of CO2 fixation was little.

		Unit	Result			
	ltems		(A) Covered Area	(B)Densely Covered Area	B/A	
Measured data	Area	m	67,205	51,289	76%	
	Unit Wet-weight *	kgWW/mឹ	1.175	1.440	123%	
	Wet-weight *	kgWW	78,966	73,855	94%	
	Water content ratio	-				
	Carbon content ration of eelgrass body	kg-C/ kgDW	0.323			
	Coefficient of CO ₂ fixation	/year	0.12		94%	
	Quantity of CO ₂ fixation in Sed Park Colora ss bed *	t-CO ₂ /year	1.7	1.6	J 70	



Lessons Learned



- > We considered which method is more suitable
- Evaluation criteria is established from point of view that citizens can survey continuously.
- > Method (B) is more suitable.

	(A) Covered Area	(B) Densely Covered Area
Easiness of survey	× (difficult for citizens)	0
Cost of survey	× (photography by UAV)	0
Easiness of determining covered area	× (consideration for porosity)	Ο
Quantity of CO2 fixation	0	0
Comprehensive evaluation	×	0









Achievements

Challenges



- A world-first practical scheme to promote CO2 fixation by Blue Carbon is implemented in Yokohama City.
- In social experiment, we quantify CO₂ reduction by "Blue Resources" and CO₂ fixation by "Blue Carbon".
- Citizens and companies joined the scheme and carbon offset of Triathlon Games was done.
- For the full-scale practice in near future, accuracy of quantity of CO₂ fixation by Blue Carbon need to be enhanced.
- More citizens and companies should join YBCP and YBCP should be independent from Yokohama city government economically.

